

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,779	06/19/2001	John R. Klug	5822.03	3337
20686	7590 12/16/2003	EXAMINER		
	WHITNEY, LLP	CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG		
	UAL PROPERTY DEPART FEENTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 4700		3621		
DENVER, C	CO 80202-5647	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	- k			
			09/884,779		KLUG ET AL.				
r,	Office Action Summary	-	Examiner	- i.	Art Unit				
			Mary Cheung		3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or R ply	nication appe	ears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136 umunication. (30) days, a reply vertatutory period will us will, by statute, of	6(a). In no event, ho within the statutory of Il apply and will exp cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>09 Se</u>	ptember 2003	•					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Sincè this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending	in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or	election requi	rement.					
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)∐ accep	pted or b)□ c	bjected to by the l	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the di	rawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_	· ·						
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exa	miner. Note t	ne attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a) 13)⊠ A s 3 a 14)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office activated acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment	y documents y documents sof the prioritional Bureau on for a list of for domesticed in the first inguage provious for domestic	have been re have been re ty documents (PCT Rule 17 of the certified priority under sentence of the priority under priority under priority under priority under	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive .2(a)). copies not receive 35 U.S.C. § 119(e he specification or ation has been rec 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National S ed. e) (to a provisional a in an Application D eived. and/or 121 since a	application) Pata Sheet.			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		41 [Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notic	the of Neterlances Cited (FTO-032) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5)		atent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/884,779

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the supplemental appeal brief filed on September 9, 2003,

PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Status of the Claims

2. Claims 1 and 3-10 are pending. Claims 2 and 11 have been cancelled.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 4, the word "information-on" should be "information. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 09/884,779

Art Unit: 3621

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,790,785. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both disclose storing or manually inputting registration information related to the user on a first node, storing said registration information on a second node, providing the user with a user identification code permitting access to said registration information, and supplying said user identification code and said registration information to at least one requested node.
- 6. Claims 1 and 10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/411,829. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both disclose providing registration information related to the user to a first node and a second node, providing a user identification code related said registration information, and supplying said user identification code and said registration information to at least one requested node.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (703)-305-0084. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications; including After Final

Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(703) 746-5619 (Draft Communications)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

marytheny

Mary Cheung

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3621

December 12, 2003